Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/13: CIA-RDP90G01359R000200090011-7

- 11 100 100 4

SECRET



Central Intelligence Agency Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

12 March 1986

NOTE TO: LTG John Moellering, USA Assistant to the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff

Further to our discussion at the CPPG on the Libyan oil system, I had our specialists take a look at the pumping stations and other aspects of the system.

I believe you will find the brief paper they have prepared of interest. (It does highlight the importance of the pumping stations.)

> Robert M. Gates Deputy Director for Intelligence

> > SECRET

Attachment: Libyan Oil System Targets SECRET



Central Intelligence Agency Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

12 March 1986

NOTE TO: The Honorable Richard L. Armitage Assistant Secretary of Defense (International Security Affairs)

Further to our discussion at the CPPG on the Libyan oil system, I had our specialists take a look at the pumping stations and other aspects of the system.

I believe you will find the brief paper they have prepared of interest. (It does highlight the importance of the pumping stations.)

> Robert M. Gates Deputy Director for Intelligence

Attachment: Libyan Oil System Targets

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/13 : CIA-RDP90G01359R000200090011-7

SECRET

Central Intelligence Agency
Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

Central Intelligence Agency
Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

12 March 1986

25X1 25X1

25X1 25X1

12 March 1986

NOTE TO: The Honorable Michael H. Armacost Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs

Mulu -

Further to our discussion at the CPPG on the Libyan oil system, I had our specialists take a look at the pumping stations and other aspects of the system.

I believe you will find the brief paper they have prepared of interest. (It does highlight the importance of the pumping stations.)

SECRET

Robert M. Gates
Deputy Director for Intelligence

Attachment: Libyan Oil System Targets NOTE TO: Donald R. Fortier

Deputy Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs

SECRET

Don-

Further to our discussion at the CPPG on the Libyan oil system, I had our specialists take a look at the pumping stations and other aspects of the system.

I believe you will find the brief paper they have prepared of interest. (It does highlight the importance of the pumping stations.)

Robert M. Gates
Deputy Director for Intelligence

Attachment:
Libyan Oil System Targets

SECRET 225X1

25X1 25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

12 MAR 1986

## Libyan Oil System Targets

Libya's oil network is divided into five systems feeding five oil export terminals (See Table 1). Although the systems are operated separately, several connections add flexibility by making it possible to divert crude oil from one system to another. The Libyan oil network also has considerable excess capacity, primarily in its export facilities and pipelines, because it was built to handle more than 3 million b/d--much larger than the 1.1 million b/d currently produced.

Our analysis indicates
Libyan crude oil system. Although production facilities—such as
gathering lines from wellheads, manifolds, and gas—oil separation
equipment—are a key chokepoint in the network, they make a poor
target because of their wide dispersal. Also, interconnections
among pipeline systems and the large redundancy of export
terminal capacity detract greatly from the attractiveness of
targeting export facilities. Temporary export systems would be
relatively easy to install and the Libyans would need to replace
only a small portion of—existing export capacity to return to

The loss of pump stations would cause the maximum disruption of exports for the longest period. Without the pump stations, the Libyans would be unable to deliver crude oil produced in the interior to the five export terminals. Their destruction would also eliminate any possibility to reroute crude from damaged export terminals to unaffected ones using existing pipeline connections or constructing new links at pipeline crossover points. Moreover, destruction of several major pump stations would require about seven months to replace.

Table 1
Libyan Oil Production and Capacity
(thousand b/d)

System	Current Production	Maximum Sustainable Production	Excess Capacity	Terminal (& Capacity)
OASIS Occidental AGECO Sirte (formerly Exxon)	dental 285 <sup>a</sup> 340 55 0 225 450 225 125 135	55 225	Es Sider (1,000) Zueitina (1,000) Marsa al Hariga (600) Marsa al Brega (900)	
VEBA (formerly Mobil)	65	75	10	Ras Lanuf (800) <sup>b</sup>
Total	1,100	1,600	500	Sirtica (4,300)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes approximately 40,000 b/d of crude production exported from Ras Lanuf.

## Critical Targets

Although Libya has more than 20 individual pump stations spread throughout its extensive production network, we have identified six critical pump stations, located within 100-300 miles of the coast, which can handle 75 percent of Libya's current productive capacity (Group I in Table 2). Their loss would reduce Libyan output below 40 percent of current levels. The loss would also eliminate all flexibility in the Libyan system to reroute significant volumes of crude oil. The exports to 14 percent of current levels, and the loss of four additional pump stations (Group III) would essentially eliminate remaining capacity in the Libyan system.

In our judgment, loss of the crude oil tank farms at Libya's five crude oil export terminals would have the most impact following destruction of the pump stations. Elimination of these tank farms would immediately stop any exports and complicate efforts to bring back partial exports as inland pumping stations are repaired. However, an alternative export system using a moored storage tanker probably could be installed and used in the 25X1

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The Ras Lanuf facility includes a seaside product terminal for the 220,000 b/d Ras Lanuf refinery and the nearby Sirtica offshore crude export terminal.

Table 2 Critical Libyan Pump Stations

Group I	Export System	Maximum Production Lost
Group I		٠
Amal-Messla Intisar A Sarir Waha Gialo Defa <b>Total</b>	Veba Occidental AGECO (East) OASIS OASIS	275 268 200 460
9. 05. Nov. 1		1203
<pre>% of Maximum Production Lost</pre>		75
<pre>% of current production Libya could maintain</pre> <pre>Group II</pre>		36
Group II		
Zeltan Hofra Hamadah 110 KM <b>Total</b>	Sirte Occidental AGECO (West) Sirte	86 60 50 <u>49</u> <b>245</b>
<pre>% of Maximum Production Lost (I &amp; II)</pre>		
% of current production Libya could maintain (I & II)		90
Group III		14
Samah Bahi Dahra Zaggut <b>Total</b>	OASIS OASIS OASIS OASIS	45 39 26 5
		115
<pre>% of Maximum Production Lost (I &amp; II &amp; III)</pre>		0.0
% of current production Libya		98
could maintain (I & II & III)		4

In addition to tank farms, destruction of other onshore equipment at export terminals—such as metering equipment, onshore pipeline manifolds, and shipping pumps and drivers would be disruptive to Libyan exports. Electrical generation facilities are important to the production and export of crude in the Oasis and the Occidental systems, and equipment to generate electrical power is located at the ports of Es Sider and Zueitina. However, we believe power generation equipment can be replaced fairly rapidly. Libya's 120,000 b/d domestic refining Lanuf are also important targets. However, their utility would to them.

25X1

## Recoverability

We believe that Libya probably has sufficient spare parts on hand or available through cannibalization to replace no more than two pump stations—replacement would take 8 to 12 weeks with foreign contractor assistance. Replacing any more than two would require going abroad for equipment, and would take at least seven months to complete repairs,

Commercial acquisition procedures, logistical strains, and manpower shortages are the major factors involved in determining impossible to define precisely the amount of exports that could be shipped after partial repairs—and when they would be available—we believe that Libya could use its capability to rebuild up to two pump stations to restore about 400,000 b/d of exports within 8 to 12 weeks.

25X1

25X1

Libyan response to damage to tank farms at export terminals would most likely be some form of bypass operation. We believe down time would not exceed 30 days. Libya, among other options, could install a continuous loading operation using a very large crude carrier (VLCC) for storage and transshipping. We believe Libya would only attempt to repair two to three tanks—enough to this rebuilding could be accomplished in seven

25**X**1

25X1 25X1

Loss of shipping pumps would not pose a major constraint. At current levels of exports Libya would need to replace only 2 to 3 shipping pumps at Zueitina to resume normal operations. Cannibalization would enable export operations to resume to

25X1

## Other Considerations

Air attacks against Libyan petroleum facilities probably would cause casualities that include non-Libyans. Most foreign oil workers are employed at drill sites in oilfields or in office

4

activities, primarily in the city of Brega. The number of workers at each pump stations is not large, probably no more than 30. We believe about five or fewer West European or Canadians would be working at each pump station. The number of workers at Libya's export terminals reportedly is much higher--probably in percent of the total. We believe a small number of Americans are still working in the Libyan oil industry, but we do not know where. Damages also would affect the interests of foreign companies. The Italian state oil company, AGIP, has a large equity position in Libya, producing 160,000 b/d of Bu Attifel crude exported from Zueitina. Veba-Gelsenberg and Wintershall also have equity positions in Libya, producing in total about terminal.